

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS  
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>Case No. 3:22-cr-0026</b>
	)	
<b>VERON VENITA GOULBOURNE,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

---

**ORDER**

**BEFORE THE COURT** is the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge recommending that the Court accept Veron Venita Goulbourne’s (“Goulbourne”) plea of guilty (ECF No. 41) to Count One of the Information, charging a violation of Title 8, United States Code, Sections 1326(a) and (b)(2). For the reasons stated below, the Court will adopt the Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, “[w]ithin fourteen days after being served with a copy [of the Report and Recommendation], any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Here, neither party filed an objection within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. Therefore, “the scope of [the Court’s] review is far more limited and is conducted under the far more deferential standard of ‘plain error.’” *Tice v. Wilson*, 425 F. Supp. 2d 676, 680 (W.D. Pa. 2006) *aff’d* 276 Fed. App’x 125 (3d Cir. 2008); *see Henderson v. Carlson*, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987) (“While . . . [28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)] may not require, in the absence of objections, the district court to review the magistrate’s report before accepting it, we believe that the better practice is for the district judge to afford some level of review to dispositive legal issues raised by the report.”).

After reviewing the record and the Report and Recommendation, the Court does not find plain error in any of the magistrate judge’s factual and legal findings. Therefore, pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Court finds that

*United States v. Coulbourne*  
Case No. 3:22-cr-0026  
Order  
Page 2 of 2

Goulbourne entered his guilty plea knowingly and voluntarily, and that there was a factual basis for the plea. The Court, therefore, will adopt the Report and Recommendation and find Goulbourne guilty as to Count One of the Information. Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No 41.) is **ADOPTED**; it is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant Goulbourne's plea of guilty as to Count One of the Information is **ACCEPTED**, and that Defendant Goulbourne is adjudged **GUILTY** on that count; it is further

**ORDERED** that, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(c)(1)(A), the U.S. Probation Office shall conduct a presentence investigation for the preparation of a presentence report; it is further

**ORDERED** that the U.S. Probation Office shall disclose the preliminary presentence report to the parties **no later than March 3, 2023**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the parties shall submit any objections or corrections to the preliminary presentence report to the U.S. Probation Office **no later than March 17, 2023**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the U.S. Probation Office shall disclose the final presentence report to the parties and the Court **no later than March 31, 2023**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the parties shall file their sentencing memoranda **no later than April 6, 2023**; it is further

**ORDERED** that a sentencing hearing shall be held on **April 13, 2023, at 10:00 A.M.** in STT Courtroom No. 1.

**Date:** February 3, 2023

/s/ Robert A. Molloy  
**ROBERT A. MOLLOY**  
**Chief Judge**